

**LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL - C.C.O. No. 025**

**July 7, 2022  
Page 1 of 12**

**TO:** Steven White, Director  
Public Works and Planning

West Annex Jail

**ATTN:** Will Kettler  
Division Manager

**CONTRACT NO. 16-S-04**

**FROM:**   
Jim Anderson, Sr. Project Manager  
Kitchell CEM

**STATUS OF FUNDS**

Contract Items	\$ 81,756,000.00	Original Allotment	\$ 85,843,800.00
Co. Furnished Materials	\$ 0.00	Supplemental Allotment	\$ 0.00
Previous CCO's	\$ 3,621,513.00	Total Allotment	\$ 85,843,800.00
This CCO	\$ 102,945.00	Obligated Funds	\$ 85,480,458.00
Total Obligated Fund	\$ 85,480,458.00	Unobligated Balance	\$ 363,342.00

Note: 1. Total allotment is the bid amount plus the Board Chairman's limit to authorize Change Orders \$4,087,800.00

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0241)**

ASI 061 – NE Exterior Security Camera

**WHY:**

The Sheriff Dept. requested the addition of an exterior camera at the northeast corner of the building

**HOW:**

Time & Material

**COST:**

A \$7,877.00 Increase

**TIME:**

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0436)**

RFI's 971, 971.1 & 971.2 – Elevators 3,4,5 Call Button Enclosure

**WHY:**

The Sheriff Dept. requested that the floor call buttons in elevators 3, 4 & 5 be enclosed with a shroud to avoid inmate tampering

**HOW:**

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 2 of 12

Time and Material

COST:

A \$1,472.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0476)**

RFI 929 thru 929.4 – Mechanical Shaft Enclosure

WHY:

The State Fire Marshall rejected the penetration of hydronic piping that penetrated through a rated shaft enclosure which required complete removal of the finished drywall and adjustment of framing to meet a UL design.

The shaft enclosure had to be re-designed due to conflicts with piping and mechanical ductwork above. Had this design not been needed, the penetrations noted above would not have been necessary

HOW:

Time & Material

COST:

A \$66,641.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0484)**

RFI 741.1 – Security Access Panel Locks

WHY:

The County Locksmith has elected to provide and install the locks on all of the security access panels

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A credit \$(90,406).00 Decrease

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0504)**

RFI 504 – RFI's 1049 & 1049.1 – Vertical CMU to Precast UL Design

**WHY:**

Due to an odd construction configuration at a CMU to Precast joint, there was no UL listed system to provide firestopping at this condition. The contractor was required to contract with an outside engineer to design a firestopping system to meet the approval of the SFM.

**HOW:**

Lump Sum

**COST:**

A \$3,945.00 Increase

**TIME:**

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0511)**

ASI 105, RFI's 910, 910.1, 1036, 1036.1, 1071 – Valley Gutter Transition, Footing Clarification

**WHY:**

Modifications of the sub-grade underneath the planter walls reduced the amount of concrete necessary for the footings.

**HOW:**

Lump Sum

**COST:**

A credit -\$14,574.00 Decrease

**TIME:**

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0550)**

RFI 1080.1 & 1080.2 – Floor Drain Modification

**WHY:**

The floor drain specified in the Contract documents within the recreation yards were not compatible with the bitumen moisture vapor installation and required a different drain to be installed.

**HOW:**

Lump Sum

**COST:**

A \$13,624.00 Increase

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 4 of 12

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0579)

RFI 1157, 1157.1 – Power for Compressor in Room 0032

WHY:

Power was not shown in Contract Documents for the air compressor in Room 0032

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$551.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0584)

RFI 950.1 & 950.2 – Seal Gap under Raised Floor in Room 1156

WHY:

In order to seal the security electronics room 1156 for the Clean Agent Fire System to properly work, the gap under the raised floor at the door needed to be sealed.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$1,052.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0586)

RFI 1149.1 – Power for Roll Down Door Room 1158

WHY:

Power was not shown in the Contract Documents for the fire rated roll down door in Room 1158

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$3,609.00 Increase

TIME:

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 5 of 12

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0595)**

RFI 1130 – Smoke Damper Replacement @ Rec Yards (Dampers Only)

**WHY:**

The SFM rejected the installed smoke dampers at the recreation yards to dayrooms and is requiring a “fire smoke damper” to be installed. This PCO is in place for the damper purchase only. (8) total dampers.

**HOW:**

Lump Sum

**COST:**

A \$13,841.00 Increase

**TIME:**

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0361.1)**

RFI 840.3 – Ceiling in Elevator 1 Machine Room

**WHY:**

The SFM required that a fire rated ceiling be installed in the elevator machine room #1 to separate plumbing and mechanical systems that penetrated the deck above the machine room. Due to the fire rating of the ceiling, the contractor had to contract out with an engineering group to design approved fire rated penetration details for components that penetrated the ceiling.

**HOW:**

Lump Sum

**COST:**

A \$8,103 Increase

**TIME:**

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0411.3)**

ASI 47.3 – Piping for New Fire Pump House (Hayden Late T&M Sheets)

**WHY:**

Work associated with locating existing underground utilities for the placement of new fire water piping for the new fire pump house

**HOW:**

Time & Material

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 6 of 12

COST:

A \$6,448.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0602)**

Handrail modifications required to comply with ADA

WHY:

The contractors CASp inspector identified handrails that did not comply with ADA and required adjustments. The contractor was directed to complete a mock-up for CASp review and approval before proceeding with the remaining modifications.

HOW:

Time & Material

COST:

A \$12,356.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0607)**

RFI's 1161, 1161.1 – Loading Dock Wheel Chair Lift

WHY:

Wheel chair installer noted that the edge distance for the safety rail needed to be modified to comply with Code which required additional steel support to comply

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$5,562 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0611)**

Elevator Operator Cost for Installatin of Laundry Equipment

WHY:

Due to the elevators not signed off by the State, the contractor is required to hire a trained elevator operator to operate the loading elevator to assist the County to move the laundry equipment to the basement.

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 7 of 12

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$3,574.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0612)**

ASI 111 – Basement Fire Extinguisher Cabinet

WHY:

An added detention fire extinguisher cabinet was required in the basement to comply with distance Codes between the nearest cabinet

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$1,726.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0615)**

RFI 1186 – Replace Blinds with Film

WHY:

The Sheriff requested to replace horizontal blinds with a "glazed" film on doors and offices.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$2,357.00 Increase

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 616)**

Access to Top Bunks in Cells (Mock-Up)

WHY:

Contract documents did not include details for a step or grab bar to assist an inmate in accessing the top bunks in each of the cells. The contractor was directed to install a "mock-up" in the 3 different cell types for Sheriff's approval.

HOW:

Time & Material

COST:

A \$3,313.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0617)

RFI 1204 – Cell Door Hold Open Function

WHY:

The Sheriff requested to have the lock bolts at each cell to be programmed as a “hold open” function to allow the guard to maintain the cell door unlocked.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$1,263.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0618)

RFI 1189 – Fire Alarm Monitoring of Hold Open Door Devices

WHY:

The SFM required that the magnetic locks that are located on (3) doors be monitored by the fire alarm system to show that the doors fully close in the event of a fire alarm.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$2,855.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

DESCRIPTION (PCO 0619)

RFI 1205 – Watchtour LED Programming

WHY:

The Sheriff requested that the field devices located in the dayrooms be reprogrammed from what was originally specified for the light activation to occur once the button is pushed rather than the light be present prior to the officer activating it.

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 9 of 12

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$1,067.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0622)**

RFI 1191 – NAJ Ramp CASp Issues

WHY:

The CASp inspector noted that the rails installed on the NAJ access ramp were not installed to meet ADA. The rails were installed as shown on the Contract Drawings.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$5,550 Increase

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0623)**

RFI 1195 – Fire Fighters Control Panel Power

WHY:

Power was not shown on Contract Drawings for the fire fighters control panel in the Fire Command Center

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$893.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0240.1)**

RFI 519.3 – Sprinkler Baffles at Guard Station

WHY:

The sprinkler baffles at each of the Guard Stations required a sizing modification to comply with the requirements of the fire protection specialist

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$1,897.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0631)**

RFI 1210 – Power to FA Annunciator in Room 1158

WHY:

Power was not shown on the Contract Documents for the fire alarm annunciator in Room 1158

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$673.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0632)**

RFI 1198 – Sump Pit Cover Plate

WHY:

The State Elevator Inspector required a steel cover plate over the recessed sump in each of the (5) elevator pits for safety.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$5,038.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 616.1)**

Cell Bunk Step (Material Only)

WHY:

In an effort to expedite the material delivery of the bunk steps required for each cell, the team elected to purchase them prior to final negotiations of the labor for this change.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$22,126.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0634)**

ASI 109.3 – Smoke Control Panel

WHY:

The SFM required that an additional smoke control panel be fabricated and installed for the 1st floor.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$5,471.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0636)**

RFI 1229 – Red Striping on Fire Lane

WHY:

The SFM required that the red fire lane striping along the fire lane be extended approximately 50'.

HOW:

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$273.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**DESCRIPTION (PCO 0638)**

ASI 114 – Grinder Pump Vault Curb

WHY:

A concrete curb was directed to be installed around the perimeter of the below grade grinder pump vault to mitigate rain water infiltration.

HOW:

Letter Of Transmittal – C.C.O. No 025  
Contract No. 16-S-04  
July 7, 2022  
Page 12 of 12

Lump Sum

COST:

A \$4,768.00 Increase

TIME:

No time extension requested by contractor.

**NET COST THIS CCO : \$102,945.00 INCREASE AND 0 CALENDAR DAY EXTENSION**



Prime Contract Change Order

Detailed

Date: 7/1/2022
To Contractor:
Bernards
1781 E Fir Ave, #205
Fresno, CA 93720

Contract Number: 16-S-004
Change Order Number: 025

The Contract is hereby revised by the following items:

Contract Change Order #025

Table with 3 columns: PCO, Description, Amount. Lists various construction items and their associated costs, such as ASI 61 - NE Exterior Security Camera (\$7,877.00) and RFI 971, 971.1, 971.2 - Elevators 3, 4, 5 Call Button Enclosure (\$1,472.00).



Prime Contract Change Order  
Detailed

---

The original Contract Value was.....	\$81,756,000.00
Sum of changes by prior Prime Contract Change Orders.....	\$3,621,513.00
The Contract Value prior to this Prime Contract Change Order was.....	\$85,377,513.00
The Contract Value will be changed by this Prime Contract Change Order in the amount of.....	\$102,945.00
The new Contract Value including this Prime Contract Change Order will be.....	\$85,480,458.00
The Contract duration will be changed by.....	0 Days
The revised Completion date as of this Prime Contract Change Order is.....	September 18, 2020



Prime Contract Change Order  
Detailed

Bernards  
CONTRACTOR  
By Bruce Waltz  
SIGNATURE *Bruce Waltz*  
DATE 7/6/2022

Approval Recommended

DLR  
PROJECT MANAGER  
By Gregg Williams  
SIGNATURE *Gregg Williams*  
DATE 7/6/22

Approved By

Fresno County  
COUNTY COUNSEL  
By Daniel C. Cederborg  
SIGNATURE *Daniel C. Cederborg*  
DATE 7/28/22

Fresno County  
CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
By Brian Pacheco  
SIGNATURE *Brian Pacheco*  
DATE 8-25-22

Approval Recommended  
Kitchell CEM  
PROJECT MANAGER  
By Jim Anderson  
SIGNATURE *Jim Anderson*  
DATE 7-6-22

Approved By

Fresno County  
DIRECTOR  
By Steven White  
SIGNATURE *Steven White*  
DATE 7/21/22

Fresno County  
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER  
By Paul Nerland  
SIGNATURE *Paul Nerland*  
DATE 8/25/22



Prime Contract Change Order  
Detailed

We the above-signed contractor, have given careful consideration to the change proposed and hereby agree, when this proposal is approved, that we will provide all equipment, furnish all materials, except as may otherwise be noted above, and perform all services necessary for the work above specified, and will accept as full payment therefor the prices shown above.

If the Contractor does not sign acceptance of this order, his attention is directed to the requirements of the Specifications as to proceeding with the ordered work and filing a written protest within the time therein specified.

By accepting a Change Order, Contractor agrees to the changes, if any, in the Contract Price specified for each item and to the specified Extension of Time allowed, if any, for completion of the entire Work on account of such Change Order, and agrees to furnish all labor and materials and perform all Work necessary to complete all additional Work specified therein for the price adjustment and within the time specified therein. Contractor shall make no additional claim for adjustment to the Contract Price or Contract Time, nor for additional costs or damages, on account of the work referenced in such Change Order. A Change Order duly issued by the Owner and accepted by the Contractor shall constitute a complete accord and satisfaction as to the Work, Contract Price, and Contract Time changed thereby. Contractor shall defend and indemnify the Owner, its officers, employees, agents and consultants, if any Subcontractor asserts any claim against the Owner that contradicts, or is inconsistent with, a duly issued Change Order accepted by Contractor. Section 01 26 00, 1.9