

Item #6
12-13-16

December 10, 2016

Fresno County Board of Supervisors
2281 Tulare St., #301
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: CSA Boundary Change and TPM 8114

Dear Supervisors:

It was apparent at the December 6, 2016 Board of Supervisors meeting you want to allow Tentative Parcel Map Application 8114 to be divided into less than 5 acre parcels regardless of the Conditions of Approval contained in the planners letter to Applicant dated November 4, 2014. In light of the Boards decision to make changes to the Conditions of Approval and contrary to a majority of the neighbors desires as evidenced by the results of the election, we, are modifying our position with respect to the boundary change vote.

We request that you are respectful of our desire to keep the integrity of our neighborhood.

We are disappointed in your apparent decision to allow parcels within the Redwood Park parcel map to be divided into less than 5 acres, however, if the tentative parcel map is approved without requiring the subject property to be annexed into the CSA 35 Zone AJ, we do expect the other conditions of Tentative Parcel Map 8114 remain including:

- 1) All conditions of Variance No. 3932 are met
- 2) Development shall be in accordance with the Site Plan as approved by the Planning Commission
- 3) That the road be re-graded and repaved from the end of the existing improvements from Auberry Road and extending to the West edge of the applicants property to the same standard done by the developer that split property and was required repaved Reno Road from the west end of the road to about the mid-point. Applicant verbally agreed to this condition publicly at the Supervisors meeting on 12/6/16.

In addition, we request another vote regarding the proposed boundary change. The only reason there was objection to this proposed boundary change was the County's written documents and verbal direction at a public hearing held at the Woodward Park Library on 11/16/16. It was understood that if the applicants weren't allowed into the CSA District that it would stop the parcel map and variance of the property division. We are committed to stopping all future splits to less than 5 acres.

If the Board modifies the conditions to allow the parcel map to proceed without the annexation of the subject parcels, then there is no reason for the opposition to the boundary change and there would likely be approval of the boundary change.


In closing, we are appreciative of the opportunity to be included in this process and are concerned about changes that affect our neighborhood. We chose this area to avoid the cramped feeling of City life and it's important that we work together to ensure it lasts.

Sincerely,

Dave and Barbara Archer

Rod and Charlotte Avedikian

Ardavan Kheradpir and Colette Kruck

Two handwritten signatures are positioned above the names Ardavan Kheradpir and Colette Kruck. The signature on the left is a stylized 'K' followed by a flourish, and the signature on the right is a cursive 'C' followed by a flourish.

Stephen and Judy Krikorian

Tim and Deanna Leary

Joe and Julie Pickett

December 10, 2016

Fresno County Board of Supervisors
2281 Tulare St., #301
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: CSA Boundary Change and TPM 8114

Dear Supervisors:

It was apparent at the December 6, 2016 Board of Supervisors meeting you want to allow Tentative Parcel Map Application 8114 to be divided into less than 5 acre parcels regardless of the Conditions of Approval contained in the planners letter to Applicant dated November 4, 2014. In light of the Boards decision to make changes to the Conditions of Approval and contrary to a majority of the neighbors desires as evidenced by the results of the election, we, are modifying our position with respect to the boundary change vote.

We request that you are respectful of our desire to keep the integrity of our neighborhood.

We are disappointed in your apparent decision to allow parcels within the Redwood Park parcel map to be divided into less than 5 acres, however, if the tentative parcel map is approved without requiring the subject property to be annexed into the CSA 35 Zone AJ, we do expect the other conditions of Tentative Parcel Map 8114 remain including:

- 1) All conditions of Variance No. 3932 are met
- 2) Development shall be in accordance with the Site Plan as approved by the Planning Commission
- 3) That the road be re-graded and repaved from the end of the existing improvements from Auberry Road and extending to the West edge of the applicants property to the same standard done by the developer that split property and was required repaved Reno Road from the west end of the road to about the mid-point. Applicant verbally agreed to this condition publicly at the Supervisors meeting on 12/6/16.

In addition, we request another vote regarding the proposed boundary change. The only reason there was objection to this proposed boundary change was the County's written documents and verbal direction at a public hearing held at the Woodward Park Library on 11/16/16. It was understood that if the applicants weren't allowed into the CSA District that it would stop the parcel map and variance of the property division. We are committed to stopping all future splits to less than 5 acres.

If the Board modifies the conditions to allow the parcel map to proceed without the annexation of the subject parcels, then there is no reason for the opposition to the boundary change and there would likely be approval of the boundary change.

In closing, we are appreciative of the opportunity to be included in this process and are concerned about changes that affect our neighborhood. We chose this area to avoid the cramped feeling of City life and it's important that we work together to ensure it lasts.

Sincerely,

Dave and Barbara Archer

Rod and Charlotte Avedikian

Ardavan Kheradpir and Colette Kruck

Stephen and Judy Krikorian

Tim and Deanna Leary

Joe and Julie Pickett

December 10, 2016

Fresno County Board of Supervisors
2281 Tulare St., #301
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: CSA Boundary Change and TPM 8114

Dear Supervisors:

It was apparent at the December 6, 2016 Board of Supervisors meeting you want to allow Tentative Parcel Map Application 8114 to be divided into less than 5 acre parcels regardless of the Conditions of Approval contained in the planners letter to Applicant dated November 4, 2014. In light of the Boards decision to make changes to the Conditions of Approval and contrary to a majority of the neighbors desires as evidenced by the results of the election, we, are modifying our position with respect to the boundary change vote.

We request that you are respectful of our desire to keep the integrity of our neighborhood.

We are disappointed in your apparent decision to allow parcels within the Redwood Park parcel map to be divided into less than 5 acres, however, if the tentative parcel map is approved without requiring the subject property to be annexed into the CSA 35 Zone AJ, we do expect the other conditions of Tentative Parcel Map 8114 remain including:

- 1) All conditions of Variance No. 3932 are met
- 2) Development shall be in accordance with the Site Plan as approved by the Planning Commission
- 3) That the road be re-graded and repaved from the end of the existing improvements from Auberry Road and extending to the West edge of the applicants property to the same standard done by the developer that split property and was required repaved Reno Road from the west end of the road to about the mid-point. Applicant verbally agreed to this condition publicly at the Supervisors meeting on 12/6/16.

In addition, we request another vote regarding the proposed boundary change. The only reason there was objection to this proposed boundary change was the County's written documents and verbal direction at a public hearing held at the Woodward Park Library on 11/16/16. It was understood that if the applicants weren't allowed into the CSA District that it would stop the parcel map and variance of the property division. We are committed to stopping all future splits to less than 5 acres.

If the Board modifies the conditions to allow the parcel map to proceed without the annexation of the subject parcels, then there is no reason for the opposition to the boundary change and there would likely be approval of the boundary change.

In closing, we are appreciative of the opportunity to be included in this process and are concerned about changes that affect our neighborhood. We chose this area to avoid the cramped feeling of City life and it's important that we work together to ensure it lasts.

Sincerely,

Dave and Barbara Archer

Rod and Charlotte Avedikian

Ardavan Kheradpir and Colette Kruck

Stephen and Judy Krikorian

Tim and Deanna Leary



Joe and Julie Pickett

December 10, 2016

Fresno County Board of Supervisors
2281 Tulare St., #301
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: CSA Boundary Change and TPM 8114

Dear Supervisors:

It was apparent at the December 6, 2016 Board of Supervisors meeting you want to allow Tentative Parcel Map Application 8114 to be divided into less than 5 acre parcels regardless of the Conditions of Approval contained in the planners letter to Applicant dated November 4, 2014. In light of the Boards decision to make changes to the Conditions of Approval and contrary to a majority of the neighbors desires as evidenced by the results of the election, we, are modifying our position with respect to the boundary change vote.

We request that you are respectful of our desire to keep the integrity of our neighborhood.

We are disappointed in your apparent decision to allow parcels within the Redwood Park parcel map to be divided into less than 5 acres, however, if the tentative parcel map is approved without requiring the subject property to be annexed into the CSA 35 Zone AJ, we do expect the other conditions of Tentative Parcel Map 8114 remain including:

- 1) All conditions of Variance No. 3932 are met
- 2) Development shall be in accordance with the Site Plan as approved by the Planning Commission
- 3) That the road be re-graded and repaved from the end of the existing improvements from Auberry Road and extending to the West edge of the applicants property to the same standard done by the developer that split property and was required repaved Reno Road from the west end of the road to about the mid-point. Applicant verbally agreed to this condition publicly at the Supervisors meeting on 12/6/16.

In addition, we request another vote regarding the proposed boundary change. The only reason there was objection to this proposed boundary change was the County's written documents and verbal direction at a public hearing held at the Woodward Park Library on 11/16/16. It was understood that if the applicants weren't allowed into the CSA District that it would stop the parcel map and variance of the property division. We are committed to stopping all future splits to less than 5 acres.

If the Board modifies the conditions to allow the parcel map to proceed without the annexation of the subject parcels, then there is no reason for the opposition to the boundary change and there would likely be approval of the boundary change.

In closing, we are appreciative of the opportunity to be included in this process and are concerned about changes that affect our neighborhood. We chose this area to avoid the cramped feeling of City life and it's important that we work together to ensure it lasts.

Sincerely,

Dave and Barbara Archer

Rod and Charlotte Avedikian

Ardavan Kheradpir and Colette Kruck

Stephen and Judy Krikorian

Tim and Deanna Leary

Joe and Julie Pickett

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Deanna Leary". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned to the right of the printed name "Tim and Deanna Leary".