

8-23-16  
Item #11

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Mello-Roos agenda item for August 23, 2016

**From:** D. Tyler Tharpe  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 20, 2016 8:27 AM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** Mello-Roos agenda item for August 23, 2016

Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to fairly dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entirety of the time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We continue to believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We also believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you for your continued service to our County.

Tyler and Maria Tharpe  
39842 Mountain Heather Lane  
Shaver Lake, CA 93664

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Mello-Roos Districts

**From:** Dr. Lin  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 21, 2016 10:57 PM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** Mello-Roos Districts

Dear Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to fairly dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entirety of the time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We continue to believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We also believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you for your continued service to our County.

Sincerely,

Ning Lin  
39968 Fawn Lily Lane  
Shaver Lake, CA 93664

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Wildflower Mello-Roos

**From:** john laboskey  
**Sent:** Monday, August 22, 2016 6:56 AM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** Wildflower Mello-Roos

Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to fairly dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entirety of the time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We continue to believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We also believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary and unrealistic. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you for your continued service to our County.

John LaBoskey  
40753 Wild Iris Lane  
Shaver Lake CA 93664

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Mello-Roos

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Erickson  
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 7:17 AM  
To: District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
Subject: Mello-Roos

Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to fairly dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entirety of the time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We continue to believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We also believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you for your continued service to our County.

Jim & Lori Erickson  
Wildrose

8-23-16  
Item # 11

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Agenda Item #11 regarding Mello-Roos Special Tax Dissolution Costs

**From:** Ken Ford  
**Sent:** Monday, August 22, 2016 1:53 PM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Cc:** John Gates  
**Subject:** Re: Agenda Item #11 regarding Mello-Roos Special Tax Dissolution Costs

Supervisor Poochigian,

I want to thank you for your unrelenting efforts to eliminate the Mello-Roos taxation arrangement in several Shaver Lake neighborhoods, no matter the outcome.

I have lived in the Wildflower neighborhood for many years and I do not recall our neighborhood ever needing or requesting additional Sheriff department support over and above what is the "normal" department services. In fairness, I assumed a Mello-Roos tax was supposed to positively enhance or increase routine Sheriff services in our neighborhood and would not necessarily only "kick in" under extraordinary events. To my knowledge, this has not happened. But, I could stand corrected on this issue simply because the Mello-Roos intent and execution seems somewhat unclear to me.

Therefore, I join others up in the Shaver area in wishing to dissolve this Mello-Roos tax and I would think the cost to eliminate Mello-Roos should be far less than the \$10,000 suggested at the last Board of Supervisors meeting.

Thank you, again, for your service.

Ken Ford

Sent from my iPad.

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Wildflower Mello Roos Tax

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy  
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 10:10 AM  
To: District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
Subject: Wildflower Mello Roos Tax

Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to fairly dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entirety of the time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We continue to believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We also believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you for your continued service to our County.

Randy & Lisa Biglione  
39831 Fawn Lily Lane  
Shaver Lake, Ca

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Mello-Roos

**From:** JAN PALECEK  
**Sent:** Monday, August 22, 2016 10:51 AM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** Mello-Roos

to: Supervisor Debbie Poochigian  
Fresno County District 5  
Hello, are names are Jan Palecek and and Alena Paleckova.  
We reside and own property in Wildflower Village.  
We are receiving a tax bill under Mello-Roos.  
The property is in phase four (Wildflower Village).  
We believe that our property is illegally taxed by Mello-Roos act.  
Explanation:

- 1, We did not requested any extra protection from Fresno County Sheriff Office.
- 2, We did not vote for establishing Mello-Roos act.
- 3, We are not receiving any Sheriffs extra protection.

For this reason I would like to dissolve the Mello-Roos at no cost to me.  
We encourage the Board to approve recommendation action "C " on the upcoming agenda.  
I am referring to the meeting of Board of Supervisors on August 23, 2016, item # 11  
Thank you

Jan Palecek  
Alena Paleckova  
40787 Leopard Lilly Lane  
Shaver Lake 93664  
5592997886

---

**From:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** FW: Mello-Roos

**From:** Robert  
**Sent:** Monday, August 22, 2016 12:42 PM  
**To:** District5 (Office of Supervisor Debbie Poochigian)  
**Subject:** Mello-Roos

Supervisor Poochigian,

Thank you for your continued efforts to dissolve the Mello-Roos Districts of Bretz Mountain, Wildflower Village and Ventana Hills. During the entire time we have owned our mountain property we do not believe we have received, nor do we need, added protection from the Fresno Sheriff's Office which "protection" is taxed under Mello-Roos. We believe that the Districts should be dissolved.

We believe that the established dissolution fees of \$10,000 per District are arbitrary. Any anticipated fees are surely significantly lower and they should be waived. The County should fund all the associated costs of the Mello-Roos change proceedings without charges to any of the involved residences.

We encourage the Board to approve recommended action C.

Thank you very much for your outstanding service,

Robert M. Schwoerer & Julie M. Schwoerer  
39725 Foxglove Lane,  
Shaver Lake, Ca. 93664

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



Item #11  
8-23-16

**Estimated Fees for Mello-Roos Change Proceeding**

	Staff hours \$/hr 75.61	Total Staff Cost	Supvr hours \$/hr 80.96	Total Supvr cost	Div. Mgr Hours \$/hr 119.85	Total Div. Mgr. cost	Cost outside Department
1 BAI - Reso of Consideration	18	\$ 1,360.98	4	\$ 323.84	2	\$ 239.70	
2 Resolution of Consideration	20	\$ 1,512.20	6	\$ 485.76	2	\$ 239.70	
3 BAI - Protest Hearing Reso	18	\$ 1,360.98	4	\$ 323.84	2	\$ 239.70	
4 Resolution Protest hearing	20	\$ 1,512.20	6	\$ 485.76	2	\$ 239.70	
5 BAI - Reso qualified electors	24	\$ 1,814.64	4	\$ 323.84	2	\$ 239.70	
6 Resolution of Change	20	\$ 1,512.20	6	\$ 485.76	2	\$ 239.70	
7 Map preparation	16	\$ 1,209.76	2	\$ 161.92		\$ -	
8 Preparation of Notice to be published (x3)	12	\$ 907.32	1	\$ 80.96		\$ -	
9 Preparation of Notice to be mailed (x2)	6	\$ 453.66	1	\$ 80.96		\$ -	
10 Preparation of Notice to be posted (x2)	6	\$ 453.66	1	\$ 80.96		\$ -	
11 Preparation of ballot	8	\$ 604.88					
12 Posting notice within district (drive to district)(x2)	11	\$ 831.71					
13 Generating owner mailing list	8	\$ 604.88		\$ -		\$ -	
14 Printing/label generation/staffing	12	\$ 907.32		\$ -		\$ -	
15 Board Hearing 1		\$ -	1	\$ 80.96	1	\$ 119.85	
16 Board hearing 2		\$ -	1	\$ 80.96	1	\$ 119.85	
17 Board Hearing 3		\$ -	1	\$ 80.96	1	\$ 119.85	
18 Close-out documentation	12	\$ 907.32	8	\$ 647.68	1	\$ 119.85	

Total Department Staff cost (A) \$ 15,953.71 (B) \$ 3,724.16 (C) \$ 1,917.60

19 Car Mileage						\$ 73.00
20 Publishing				\$2000 / District		\$ 6,000.00
21 Postage				\$2/mail package (2x - 208 properties)		\$ 832.00
22 Legal Review						\$ 1,500.00
					(D)	\$ 8,405.00

**Total proceeding cost for 3 Districts ((A) + (B) + (C) + (D)) = \$ 30,000**