## BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RESOLUTION NO. 18-168

Resolution Establishing Specific Plan Development Fees to Provide for Millerton New Town Facilities

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Fresno, on December 7, 2004, adopted the latest update to the Millerton Specific Plan (Specific Plan) creating and establishing the authority for imposing and charging a fee for the development of roadway and park facilities identified in Exhibit A to this resolution for projects within the Millerton Specific Plan area (Development Fee); and

WHEREAS, notice of the public meeting and a general explanation of the matter to be considered was published according to Government Code Section 66018; and,

WHEREAS, notice of the public meeting and a general explanation of the matter to be considered was mailed at least 14 days prior to the meeting to any interested party who had filed a written request for mailed notice of the meeting on new or increased fees or service charges, as required by Government Code Section 66019; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to public notice, a hearing was held as part of a regularly scheduled meeting at which oral or written presentations could be made; and,

WHEREAS, application has been made for approval of the Final Map for Tract No. 4968; and,

WHEREAS, on December 14, 2000 the Fresno County Planning Commission approved Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map No. 4968, Classified Conditional Use Permit Application No. 2956, Site Plan Review Application No. 7082, and Mitigation Measures adopted and/or approved through the associated Initial Study Application subject to certain conditions; and,

WHEREAS, a detailed study of the cost of construction of those roadway and park facilities (Improvements) identified in the Specific Plan was prepared for and reviewed by

1	the Depa	the Department of Public Works and Planning entitled "MILLERTON NEW TOWN				
2	INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN FACILITY FEES AND SPECIFIC PLAN DEVELOPMENT					
3	FEES" d	FEES" dated June 2008, and is on file with the Department of Public Works and Planning,				
4	Develop	ment Services and Capital Projects Division (Fee Study); and,				
5	WHEREAS, said Specific Plan sets forth the relationship between the proposed					
6	development and the needed facilities and said detailed study estimated the cost of those					
7	improvements; and					
8	WHEREAS, these studies were made available for public inspection and review for at					
9	least 10 days prior to this public hearing, as required by Government Code section 66019;					
10	and,					
11	WHEREAS, said Specific Plan requires the payment of the Development Fee to fund					
12	the construction of Improvements within the Specific Plan area; and,					
13	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of County of					
14	Fresno t	hat:				
15	1.	Findings.				
16		The Board makes the following findings:				
17	A.	All of the recitals stated above are true and correct.				
18	B.	The purpose of the Development Fee is to finance public facility improvements to				
19		mitigate the impact caused by development of Tract No. 4968. Such				
20		improvements include the construction of roadway and park facilities within the				
21		Millerton Specific Plan area.				
22	C.	The fees collected pursuant to this resolution shall be used to finance the cost of				
23		Improvements identified in Exhibit A attached to this resolution.				
24	D.	After considering the Specific Plan and the Fee Study, and the testimony received				
25		at this public hearing, the Board of Supervisors approves and adopts the Fee				
26		Study and incorporates it into this resolution, and further finds that the				
27		development of Tract 4968 will generate additional demands on facilities.				
28						
		2				

E. As development occurs throughout the Millerton Specific Plan area, there will be a need for expanded, improved, and newly constructed Improvements.

F. The Specific Plan, Fee Study, and testimony establish;

 That there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use, namely, expanded, improved, or newly constructed Improvements as described above, and the type of development for which the fee is charged, which is residential development associated with Tract 4968, as described above;

 That there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the Improvements as designated in Exhibit A and the impacts of the development of Tract No. 4968 as identified in the Specific Plan and DS, for which the corresponding fee is charged;

3. That the cost estimates set forth in Exhibit A are reasonable cost estimates for constructing these Improvements, and the fees expected to be generated by development of Tract No. 4968 do not exceed the total costs of constructing the improvements identified in Exhibit A.

4. That the amount of the fee will not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of the public facilities or portion of the public facilities attributable to the development of Tract No. 4968 on which the fee is imposed; and,

2. Fee Imposed.

A Specific Plan Development Fee, in the amount stated in Section 3, shall be charged and paid before the issuance of a building permit for each residential lot developed in Tract No. 4968; provided, however, that the developer may enter into a written agreement with the County to pay the fee no earlier than concurrently with the recordation of a final map for property within the Specific Plan area.

- 3. Amount of Traffic Impact Fee.

а.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The fee for each single-family lot in Tract No. 4968 shall be \$7,243.89.

1 b. The amount of the fee shall be adjusted on January 15 annually by a 2 percentage equal to the change in the Engineering News Record 3 Construction Cost Index for 20 Cities for the preceding year as 4 published in the Fourth Quarterly Cost Issue in the preceding 5 December. 6 4. Payment of Other Fees Required. 7 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Resolution, development of a. 8 Tract 4968 within the Specific Plan area is responsible for the payment 9 of all other applicable fees adopted by the County. 10 b. Nothing in this Resolution affects the obligation of any person to pay 11 Area of Benefit fees established pursuant to Fresno County Ordinance 12 Code so long as this fee shall not result in a duplicate fee for any 13 development or portion thereof included in an Area of Benefit. 14 5. Use of Fee Revenues. 15 The revenues collected by payment of the Development Fee shall be a. 16 placed in the Millerton Specific Plan Development Fee accounts and 17 such revenues, plus any interest earnings on each account, shall be 18 used for the following purposes: 19 1. To pay for design and construction of designated Improvements; 20 and 21 To reimburse developers who have designed and constructed 2. 22 designated Specific Plan Facilities which are oversized with 23 supplemental size, length, or capacity; and 24 3. To pay for and/or reimburse costs of program development and 25 ongoing administration of the Specific Plan Funds. 26 27 28

			i				
1	6.	Expenditure of the Fees.					
2		а.	Fees in the Millerton Specific Plan Development Fee accounts shall be				
3			expended only for those facilities listed in Exhibit A and only for the				
4			purpose for which the fee was collected.				
5		b.	Proceeds of the Development Fees shall not be used to pay for existing				
6			deficiencies of any public facilities.				
7	7.	<u>Adminis</u>	trative Regulations.				
8		The Dire	ector of the Department of Public Works and Planning shall develop				
9		procedures for the effective implementation and administration of the Millerton					
10		Specific	Plan Development Fees and to annually review and update the Fee				
11		Schedu	e.				
12	Tł	THE FOREGOING, was passed and adopted by the following vote of the Board of					
13	Supervisors of the County of Fresno this <u>1st</u> day of <u>May</u> , 2018, to-wit:						
14		5	upervisors Borgeas, Magsig, Mendes, Pacheco, Quintero				
15	AYES:						
16	NOES:	N	lone				
17	ABSENT	: N	lone				
18	ABSTAIN	NED: N	lone				
19							
20			Sel Junter				
21			Sal Quintero, Chairperson of the Board of Super <del>vis</del> ors of the County of Fresno				
22							
23	ATTEST:						
24	Bernice E. Seidel Clerk of the Board of Supervisors						
25	Coun	ty of Fres	no, State of California				
26		s,					
27	By <u>(</u> De	A De	Curto				
28		•					
			5				

## EXHIBIT "A"

## MILLERTON SPECIFIC PLAN FEES

ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	TOTAL COST	NO. OF UNITS	COST PER UNIT			
Marina Drive	\$11,523,466.64	3499	\$3,293.36			
Millerton Road	\$2,714,874.10	3499	\$775.90			
Collector Roads	\$8,693,615.40	3499	\$2,484.60			
SIGNAL LOCATION						
Millerton at Marina	\$344,791.46	3499	\$98.54			
PARKS						
White Fox Creek/Marina Dr. Prk	wy \$289,647.22	3499	\$82.78			
Community Park	\$1,041,722.28	3499	\$297.72			
3% Administration Fee	\$738,243.51		\$210.99			
TOTAL	<u>\$25,346,360.61</u>		<u>\$7,243.89</u>			