



# County of Fresno

Hall of Records, Rm. 301  
2281 Tulare Street  
Fresno, California  
93721-2198

## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 18-1197

**On agenda:** 10/9/2018 **Final action:** 10/9/2018

**Enactment date:** **Enactment #:**

**Title:** Retroactively approve Fresno County Fiscal Year 2017-18 Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund Annual Report to the State Legislature

**Attachments:** 1. Agenda Item, 2. Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund Annual Report Fiscal Year 2017-18

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/9/2018	1	Board of Supervisors	Approved (Consent Agenda)	Pass

**DATE:** October 9, 2018

**TO:** Board of Supervisors

**SUBMITTED BY:** Jean M. Rousseau, County Administrative Officer

**SUBJECT:** Fresno County Fiscal Year 2017-18 Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund Annual Report

### RECOMMENDED ACTION(S):

#### **Retroactively approve Fresno County Fiscal Year 2017-18 Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund Annual Report to the State Legislature.**

The California Government Code §12716 mandates counties that administer grants from the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund (IGSDF) to submit an annual report to the State of California by October 1<sup>st</sup> of each year. The Fresno County Indian Gaming Local Community Benefit Committee (Committee) Operating Policy and Procedure requires that the Board of Supervisors approve the annual report; a local policy and not required by the California Government Code.

For FY 2017-18 there was continued uncertainty of reporting requirements due to the Governor continuing to not allocate any IGSDF to counties in FY 2018-19. In mid-September of 2018 the County received confirmation that the IGSDF annual report would still be due October 1, 2018 to report any expenditures of prior year grant funds to maintain eligibility for future grant funding. The report was subsequently prepared and submitted timely. Retroactive approval of the report based on our local policy is requested due to Board Agenda timing requirements for the item.

### ALTERNATIVE ACTION(S):

There is no viable alternative action as submission of the annual report to the State Legislature is a requirement.

### FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no impact on Net County Cost. Administrative costs are allowable under the grant guidelines.

### DISCUSSION:

On March 11, 2014 your Board approved receipt of \$886,973 in FY 2013-14 Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund (IGSDF) to be expended in FY 2014-15 and on April 1, 2014 your Board approved submission to the State IGSDf applications from the District Attorney and Sheriff. The County IGSDf funds were received and the funding was provided to the District Attorney (\$359,108.50), Sheriff (\$262,133.50), and Waterworks District #18 (\$153,168), and Administrative fees of (\$12,324). As of June 30, 2017 the only remaining funding was for the District Attorney in the amount of \$131,394.81. No expenditures were made during FY 2017-18 and the funds earned interest in the amount of \$2,209.96, bringing the total remaining June 30, 2018 amount to \$133,604.77. There also remains a small amount of residual interest from prior Administrative Fees in the amount of \$14.66.

In March of 2014 the State of California Legislative Analyst's Office presented to the Senate Governmental Organization Committee its *Shortfalls in Indian Gaming Funds* report citing annual shortfalls in IGSDf revenues each Fiscal Year, estimated at a total of \$30 million since 2008-09, requiring transfers from the State's General Fund to the IGSDf in order to fund local mitigation grants. California Government Code §12012.85 prioritizes the use of the IGSDf, these priorities include:

- (a) Grants, including any administrative costs, for programs designated to address gambling addiction.
- (b) Grants, including any administrative costs, for the support of State and local government agencies impacted by tribal government gaming.
- (c) Compensation for regulatory costs incurred by the State Gaming Agency and the Department of Justice in connection with the implementation and administration of tribal-state gaming compacts.
- (d) Payment of shortfalls that may occur in the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund. This shall be the priority use of moneys in the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund.

As local mitigations are the lowest priority, ultimately the Governor did not include any IGSDf funding in FYs 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, for 2018-19 for counties to provide local mitigation grants. The last meeting of the Fresno County Indian Gaming Local Benefit Committee was in May 2015 where they approved the District Attorney to carry over FY 2014-15 funding to continue investigation and prosecution of cases with a nexus to Indian Gaming in FY 2015-16 and distribute prior years accrued interest (\$10,330) in the local Indian Gaming Special Revenue Fund that was not specifically attributed to prior period grants or administrative fees received and held by the County. No funding was awarded to any other entity in FYs 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18. Staff is preparing to call a meeting of the Indian Gaming Committee to determine projects that align with IGSDf priorities for FY 2018-19, in order to expend the remaining funds.

In mid-September, it was confirmed to staff that although there was no IGSDf funding allocated to counties for FY 2018-19, the annual IGSDf report for FY 2017-18 was due by October 1, 2018. Due to Board Agenda timing requirements and routine nature of the annual report, October 9, 2018 was the first Board available date to submit this item for your Board's approval.

**REFERENCE MATERIAL:**

BAI #39, October 17, 2017  
BAI #18, October 18, 2016  
BAI #18, April 1, 2014  
BAI #24, March 11, 2014

**ATTACHMENTS INCLUDED AND/OR ON FILE:**

Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund Annual Report Fiscal Year 2017-18

CAO ANALYST:

Juan Lopez