



County of Fresno

Hall of Records, Rm. 301
2281 Tulare Street
Fresno, California
93721-2198

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 18-0648 **Name:** Second Hearing of Amendment to Master Schedule of Fees - Public Works and Planning

In control: Public Works & Planning

On agenda: 8/7/2018 **Final action:** 8/7/2018

Enactment date: **Enactment #:** Ordinance No. 18-013

Title: Conduct second hearing and adopt Ordinance to amend Fresno County Master Schedule of Fees, Charges, and Recovered Costs Sections 1000 - Computer Services (EMIS); 1500 - Parks and Recreation; and 2200 - Public Works; and waive reading of Ordinance in its entirety

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Agenda Item, 2. Ordinance No. 18-013, 3. Additional Information

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/7/2018	1	Board of Supervisors	Approved as Recommended	Pass

DATE: August 7, 2018

TO: Board of Supervisors

SUBMITTED BY: Steven E. White, Director
Department of Public Works & Planning

SUBJECT: Second Hearing of Amendment to Master Schedule of Fees - Public Works and Planning

RECOMMENDED ACTION(S):

Conduct second hearing and adopt Ordinance to amend Fresno County Master Schedule of Fees, Charges, and Recovered Costs Sections 1000 - Computer Services (EMIS); 1500 - Parks and Recreation; and 2200 - Public Works; and waive reading of the Ordinance in its entirety.

Approval of the recommended action would revise the Master Schedule of Fees, Charges, and Recovered Costs (MSF) Sections 1000, 1500, and 2200 based on actual Department of Public Works and Planning costs to reimburse staff costs for projects requested by County departments and outside public agencies. In addition, the revision to Section 2200 provides fee exemptions associated with the issuance of certain permits under prescribed circumstances. This item is countywide.

ALTERNATIVE ACTION(S):

Your Board may direct staff to use current fees or propose alternative fees, which may not recover 100% of departmental staff costs and do not allow for exemptions in prescribed circumstances.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no increase in Net County Cost associated with the recommended actions. It is anticipated that with the fee rate amendments, the Department will ensure 100% cost recovery for salaries, benefits, and indirect

costs. The proposed exemptions are cost neutral or no cost.

DISCUSSION:

The first hearing to amend the Master Schedule of Fees was held on July 10, 2018.

The proposed fee rate changes ensure proper cost recovery for salary and benefits and indirect costs and have been reviewed and recommended for update in the MSF by the Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector to ensure 100% cost recovery.

Subsection 1001 - Staff Time under Section 1000 - Computer Services-EMIS, sets forth the hourly rate for requests from outside of the County for computer related services including parcel checks, map checks, and similar.

The proposed hourly rates in Subsection 1509 - Parks Staff under Section 1500 - Parks and Recreation are used to charge outside public agencies and to estimate work for county departments for grant funded projects.

The proposed fee rates in Subsections 2201 - Inspection Services, 2204 - Road Improvement Permits, and 2205 - Miscellaneous Fees under Section 2200 - Public Works will reduce the amount of Road Fund revenue being used. On March 10, 2015, the Board approved an annual 10% increase to recover an estimated 48% shortfall in revenue for such services.

Additionally, the proposed fee rates in Subsections 2207 - Capital Projects, 2208 - Admin Services - Resources, and 2209 - Development Services under Section 2200 - Public Works, specify the hourly rate fees applied to projects requested by outside agencies, projects using tax-exempt bonds, and other special grant funded county department projects. Changes in some of the rates stem from changes to staffing composition within each job classification and are primarily due to increased salary and benefits costs.

The proposed ordinance does not modify the requirement to obtain listed permits; however, it adds Subsection 2210 - Exemptions, to provide fee exemptions associated with the issuance of certain permits under prescribed circumstances. Fees articulated under Subsection 2201 - Inspection Services "A. Encroachment Inspection Services" and under Subsection 2204 - Road Improvement Permits will not be imposed when the permit in question is associated with a project that is:

1. to be constructed pursuant to a cooperative project agreement between the County and another public agency, provided that the agency in question has adopted an ordinance or policy whereby it will provide a reciprocal exemption or waiver, as appropriate, of similar fees that otherwise would be charged to the County when mutually engaged with the County in a cooperative road construction project; or,
2. for the improvement of county-owned or county-maintained facilities when such improvement is to be constructed by a contractor retained by the County of Fresno; or,
3. for the relocation, adjustment, improvement, or replacement of utility facilities when such work is requested by the County in connection with a project for the improvement of county-owned or county-maintained facilities.

In case No. 1, the proposed ordinance would provide an exemption to the listed fees when another public agency is performing improvements to county facilities pursuant to a cooperative agreement, as long as the agency in question provides a reciprocal exemption or its functional equivalent. This exemption eliminates the necessity of charging fees to another agency working on a county road, for example, in accordance with a cooperative agreement. Typically, such an agreement would provide that the County would reimburse the

other agency for fees paid, and the County would effectively just be charging itself if fees were collected. Consequently, this proposed change would be cost-neutral

In case No. 2, the proposed ordinance would provide an exemption for contractors working for the County to apply for encroachment permits, but any fees collected from the contractor would ultimately be paid by the County as part of the contractor's bid price. Consequently, this proposed change would also be cost-neutral

In case No. 3, the proposed ordinance would provide an exemption to the relocation of utility facilities as a necessary prerequisite to a county project not be charged for associated permits. Generally, utilities are present in the right-of-way pursuant to franchise agreements, which require that utilities pay the County a portion of the revenues. Alternatively, utilities may be present in accordance with State Law, which prescribes the right to be located within the right-of-way. In either case, issuing no-fee permits ensures safety while facilitating relocation work that must be accomplished before county projects can commence. Any costs associated with county inspection would be borne by the project in question, and there would be no Net County Cost associated with this exemption.

The specific circumstances under which an exemption will be applicable, such that the fees otherwise applicable under Subsection 2201 - Inspection Services - "A. Encroachment Inspection Services" and Subsection 2204 - Road Improvement Permits will not be imposed, shall be specified in a new Subsection 2210 - Exemptions.

With your Board's approval, the new rates will take effect 30 days following adoption.

REFERENCE MATERIAL:

BAI #15, July 10, 2018

ATTACHMENTS INCLUDED AND/OR ON FILE:

Ordinance

CAO ANALYST:

Sonia M. De La Rosa