

Legislation Text

File #: 17-0041, Version: 1

DATE:	June 6, 2017
	June 0, 2017

TO: Board of Supervisors

SUBMITTED BY: Elizabeth Diaz, Public Defender

SUBJECT: Amendment to Master Schedule of Fees - Public Defender

RECOMMENDED ACTION(S):

- 1. Conduct first hearing to amend the Fresno County Master Schedule of Fees, Charges and Recovered Costs Ordinance for services provided to indigent criminal defendants by amending Subsection 2001 of Section 2000-Public Defender; waive reading of the ordinance in its entirety; and set second hearing for June 20, 2017;
- 2. Designate County Counsel to prepare a fair and adequate summary of the proposed ordinance; and
- 3. Direct the Clerk to the Board to post and publish the required summary in accordance with Government Code Section 25124(b)(1).

Approval of the recommended action will revise fees in section 2000 of the Master Schedule of Fees, Charges and Recovered Costs (MSF) by amending subsection 2001, based on recovery of actual costs for legal defense services provided by the Public Defender and the County's contracted alternate indigent defense provider, to adult and juvenile indigent defense criminal defendants in Fresno County.

ALTERNATIVE ACTION(S):

Your Board may direct staff to use current fees or propose alternative fees, which may not recover 100% of costs.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no increase in Net County Cost associated with the recommended action. These rates will be used by the Public Defender to recover appointment registration fees and additional costs associated with the defense of adult and juvenile defendants. In FY 2015-16, the department collected \$59,924 in court-ordered attorney fees. As of March 31, 2017, the department has collected \$34,691 in court-ordered attorney fees. It is anticipated with acceptance of these fees, the Public Defender's collection of FY 2017-18 court-ordered fees will increase approximately 2%. However, the Public Defender revenue from court-ordered fees has decreased since FY 2013-14 when the Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector (AC/TTC) changed the distribution of court-ordered fees to the Public Defender to fourth priority in order to ensure compliance with the California Penal Code.

DISCUSSION:

All persons have a constitutional right to legal counsel and whenever an individual is charged with a criminal offense and cannot afford to hire a defense attorney, the court appoints counsel for that individual. In Fresno County, the Public Defender is the primary source of court appointed counsel. California law provides that when courts appoint defendants legal assistance, either through the public defender or private counsel, the

File #: 17-0041, Version: 1

court or public defender shall consider the defendants ability to pay the costs of legal assistance, and if there is a finding that the parties have the ability to pay for the legal assistance, those fees are included in any court ordered fees. These laws apply to both adult defendants and the parent or other person liable for the support of a minor defendant.

Recommended updates to the Master Schedule of Fees for Subsection 2001 - Fees Collected for Services Provided on Indigent Criminal Defendants are detailed in Attachment A. The updated fees recover the salary and benefits cost that will be incurred for Defense Attorney, Legal Assistant, and Investigator positions along with adding the cost for Paralegal positions. The recommended rates reflect 2016-17 costs.

On March 11, 2014, your Board approved a Master Schedule of Fees, Charges and Recovered Costs for the Public Defender. The Defense Attorney and Investigator rates recommended reflect an increase of 2% and 5%, respectively, due to adjustments in salary and benefit costs. The Legal Assistant rate recommended reflects a decrease of 18% due to the reclassification of Office Assistant to Legal Assistant and the addition of the job classification of Paralegal.

Fee increases are also recommended for the distinct stages in adult felony and misdemeanor cases and juvenile criminal cases, due to increased estimates of the average time required per each stage in the court process. A comparison of current fees versus proposed fees is shown in Attachment A.

The recommended rates, including their calculation and methodology have been reviewed and approved by the Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector and they concur with the recommended actions.

REFERENCE MATERIAL:

BAI #8, March 11, 2014

ATTACHMENTS INCLUDED AND/OR ON FILE:

Attachment A Ordinance Exhibit A On file with Clerk - Summary of Ordinance

CAO ANALYST:

Samantha Buck